

Research Article

Some Inequalities of Certain Subclass of Meromorphic Functions Defined by Using New Integral Operator

R.M. El-Ashwah^a and A.H. Hassan^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44519, Egypt

Corresponding author: A.H. Hassan, e-mail: alaahassan1986@yahoo.com; 3laahassan1986@gmail.com

Received 09 May 2014; Accepted 17 June 2014

Abstract: By using new integral operator, we investigate two interesting properties of certain class of p -valent meromorphic functions. Moreover we obtained the corresponding results due to Liu-Srivastava operator and Uralegaddi-Somanatha operator, which are the meromorphic analogous of Carlson-Shaffer operator and Ruscheweyh operator, respectively.

Keywords: Meromorphic functions; multivalent functions; differential subordination.

1 Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{p,n}$ denote the class of multivalent meromorphic functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}; n > -p), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc $U^* = U \setminus \{0\}$; $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, analytic in U , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ which (by definition) is analytic in U , satisfying the following conditions:

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1; \quad (z \in U)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)); \quad (z \in U),$$

Indeed it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in U) \implies f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(U) \subset g(U).$$

In particular, If the function $g(z)$ is univalent in U , we have the following equivalence (see [3], [7] and [8]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in U) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Let $\varphi(r, s; z) : \mathbb{C}^2 \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $h(z)$ be univalent in U . If $p(z)$ is analytic in U and satisfies the first order differential subordination:

$$\varphi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \prec h(z) \tag{1.2}$$

then $p(z)$ is a solution of the differential subordination (1.2). The univalent function $q(z)$ is called a dominant of the solutions if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.2). A univalent dominant \tilde{q} that satisfies $\tilde{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants of (1.2) is called the best dominant (see [8]).

Following the recent work of El-Ashwah [4], for $\mu > 0$, $a, c \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $Re(c - a) \geq 0$, $Re(a) \geq \mu p$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$) and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ given by (1.1), we define the integral operator

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} : \Sigma_{p,n} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{p,n}$$

as following:

(i) for $Re(c - a) > 0$ by:

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p)\Gamma(c - a)} \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{c-a-1} f(zt^\mu) dt; \tag{1.3}$$

(ii) for $a = c$ by:

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,a} f(z) = f(z). \tag{1.4}$$

Using (1.3) and (1.4), it is easily to deduce that the operator $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)$ can be expressed as following:

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p)} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + \mu k)}{\Gamma(c + \mu k)} a_k z^k, \tag{1.5}$$

$$(\mu > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{C}, Re(c-a) \geq 0; Re(a) > p\mu; p \in \mathbb{N}; n > -p).$$

Using (1.5), we can obtain the following recurrence relation, which is needed for our investigations:

$$z (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z))' = \frac{c - p\mu}{\mu} J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) - \frac{c}{\mu} J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z). \tag{1.6}$$

By specializing the parameters in (1.5), we note that:

- (i) $J_{p,1}^{a+p,c+p} f(z) = \ell_p(a, c)$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}; c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}; p \in \mathbb{N}$) (see Liu and Srivastava [6]);
- (ii) $J_{p,1}^{n+2p,p+1} f(z) = D^{n+p-1} f(z)$ (n is an integer, $n > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$) (see Uralegaddi and Somanatha [9], Aouf [1] and Aouf and Srivastava [2]).

Thus, the new results obtained in this paper can give us the corresponding results of the other well-known operators by some special choices of the parameters a , c and μ .

2 Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume throughout the recent section that $\mu > 0$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq a$, $a > \mu p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > -p$.

In order to introduce our results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (5) *Let $h(z)$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in U , $h(0) = 1$, and let*

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + c_{p+n} z^{p+n} + \dots \tag{2.1}$$

be analytic in U . If

$$\varphi(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z \varphi'(z) \prec h(z),$$

then for $\delta \neq 0$ and $Re(\delta) \geq 0$, we have

$$\varphi(z) \prec \psi(z) = \left(\frac{\delta}{p+n} \right) z^{-\left(\frac{\delta}{p+n}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\left(\frac{\delta}{p+n}\right)-1} h(t) dt, \quad (z \in U) \tag{2.2}$$

and $\psi(z)$ is the best dominant of (2.2).

Theorem 2.2 *For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1-B}{A-B} \left[(c + \mu k) - \mu \gamma (k + p) \right] \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p) \Gamma(a + \mu k)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p) \Gamma(c + 1 + \mu k)}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then we have the following:

- (i) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$, then

$$(1 - \gamma) z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \gamma z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$ and $\rho \geq 1$, then

$$Re \left\{ \left(z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\} > \left\{ \frac{c - \mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)} \int_0^1 t^{\left(\frac{c-\mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)^{-1}} \frac{1-At}{1-Bt} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \quad (2.6)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof: We begin with proving the first assertion of the theorem which is the subordination property (2.5). Let

$$G(z) = (1 - \gamma) z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \gamma z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z), \quad (2.7)$$

then making use of (1.5), we obtain

$$G(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left[(c + \mu k) - \mu \gamma (k + p) \right] \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p) \Gamma(a + \mu k)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p) \Gamma(c + 1 + \mu k)} a_k z^{k+p}. \quad (2.8)$$

Also, using (2.3) and the assumption that $-1 \leq B \leq 0$, then for $z \in U$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{G(z) - 1}{A - BG(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left[(c + \mu k) - \mu \gamma (k + p) \right] \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p) \Gamma(a + \mu k)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p) \Gamma(c + 1 + \mu k)} a_k z^{k+p}}{A - B - B \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left[(c + \mu k) - \mu \gamma (k + p) \right] \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p) \Gamma(a + \mu k)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p) \Gamma(c + 1 + \mu k)} a_k z^{k+p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k|}{A - B + B \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k|} \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.5) of the theorem.

To prove the second assertion of the theorem, let

$$\varphi(z) = z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z), \quad (2.9)$$

Then the function $\varphi(z)$ takes the form (2.1) and analytic in U . Differentiating (2.9) with respect to z and using (1.8), we obtain

$$\varphi(z) + \frac{\mu(1-\gamma)}{(c-\mu p)} z \varphi'(z) = (1-\gamma) z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \gamma z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad (2.10)$$

using Lemma 1, then we obtain

$$\varphi(z) \prec \frac{c - \mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)} z^{-\left(\frac{c-\mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\left(\frac{c-\mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)^{-1}} \frac{1+At}{1+Bt} dt,$$

which is equivalent to the following

$$z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) = \frac{c - \mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)} \int_0^1 u^{\left(\frac{c-\mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)^{-1}} \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Buw(z)} du. \quad (2.11)$$

Where $w(z)$ is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$).
 Moreover, from (2.11) we have

$$Re \left\{ z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) \right\} > \frac{c - \mu p}{\mu(1 - \gamma)(p + n)} \int_0^1 u^{\left(\frac{c-\mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)^{-1}} \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} du > 0 \quad (z \in U). \tag{2.12}$$

Now, in (2.12) apply the elementary inequality $Re \left\{ w^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\} \geq (Re \{w\})^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$ for $Re \{w\} > 0$ ($\rho \in \mathbb{N}$), then inequality (2.6) follows immediately.

To show the sharpness of (2.6), take $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ defined by

$$z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) = \frac{c - \mu p}{\mu(1 - \gamma)(p + n)} \int_0^1 u^{\left(\frac{c-\mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)^{-1}} \frac{1 + Auz^n}{1 + Buz^n} du. \tag{2.13}$$

For this function we find that

$$(1 - \gamma) z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \gamma z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) = \frac{1 + Az^n}{1 + Bz^n},$$

and

$$z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) \longrightarrow \frac{c - \mu p}{\mu(1 - \gamma)(p + n)} \int_0^1 u^{\left(\frac{c-\mu p}{\mu(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)^{-1}} \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} du \quad \text{as } z \longrightarrow e^{\frac{i\pi}{n}}.$$

Hence the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Taking $p = 1$ in Theorems 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3 For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. Suppose that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1,$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1 - B}{A - B} \left[(c + \mu k) - \mu \gamma (k + 1) \right] \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu) \Gamma(a + \mu k)}{\Gamma(a - \mu) \Gamma(c + 1 + \mu k)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Then we have the following:

(i) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$, then

$$(1 - \gamma) z I_{\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \gamma z I_{\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{2.15}$$

(ii) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$ and $\rho \geq 1$, then

$$Re \left\{ \left(z I_{\mu}^{a,c+1} f(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\} > \left\{ \frac{c - \mu}{\mu(1 - \gamma)(1 + n)} \int_0^1 t^{\left(\frac{c-\mu}{\mu(1-\gamma)(1+n)}\right)^{-1}} \frac{1 - At}{1 - Bt} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \tag{2.16}$$

The result is sharp.

Taking $a = a + p$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}$), $c = c + p$ ($c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$) and $\mu = 1$ in Theorems 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. Suppose that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1,$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1-B}{A-B} \left[(c+p+k) - \gamma(k+p) \right] \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+p+k)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c+p+k+1)}. \quad (2.17)$$

Then we have the following:

(i) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$, then

$$(1-\gamma)z^p \ell_p(a, c)f(z) + \gamma z^p \ell_p(a, c+1)f(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}. \quad (2.18)$$

(ii) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$ and $\rho \geq 1$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (z^p \ell_p(a, c+1)f(z))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\} > \left\{ \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)(p+n)} \int_0^1 t^{\left(\frac{c}{(1-\gamma)(p+n)}\right)-1} \frac{1-At}{1-Bt} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \quad (2.19)$$

The result is sharp.

Taking $a = n + 2p$ ($n > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$), $c = p + 1$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\mu = 1$ in Theorems 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. Suppose that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1,$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1-B}{A-B} \left[(k+p)(1-\gamma) + 1 \right] \frac{\Gamma(n+2p+k)}{\Gamma(n+p)\Gamma(p+2+k)}. \quad (2.20)$$

Then we have the following:

(i) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$, then

$$(1-\gamma)z^p D^{n+p-1}f(z) + \gamma z^p D^{n+p}f(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}. \quad (2.21)$$

(ii) If $-1 \leq B \leq 0$ and $\rho \geq 1$, then

$$Re \left\{ (z^p D^{n+p} f(z))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\} > \left\{ \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)(p+n)} \int_0^1 t \left(\frac{1}{(1-\gamma)(p+n)} \right)^{-1} \frac{1-At}{1-Bt} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \quad (2.22)$$

The result is sharp.

Theorem 2.6 Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ be given by (1.1) and let

$$c_k \geq \begin{cases} 1; & k = n, n+1, \dots, q; \\ c_{q+1}; & k = q+1, q+2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where c_k is given by (2.4) and satisfying the condition (2.3), define the partial sums $s_1(z)$ and $s_q(z)$ by

$$s_1(z) = z^{-p} \quad \text{and} \quad s_q(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^q a_k z^k \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}; q > n). \quad (2.23)$$

Then

$$Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{s_q(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{q+1}} \quad (z \in U; q \in \mathbb{N}; q > n), \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$Re \left\{ \frac{s_q(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{1+c_{q+1}} \quad (z \in U; q \in \mathbb{N}; q > n). \quad (2.25)$$

The estimates in (2.24) and (2.25) are sharp for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > n$.

Proof: (i) Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, we can see from (2.3) that

$$\sum_{k=n}^q |a_k| + c_{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1. \quad (2.26)$$

By setting

$$g_1(z) = c_{q+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{s_q(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{q+1}} \right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{c_{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{1 + \sum_{k=n}^q a_k z^{k+p}}, \quad (2.27)$$

and applying (2.26), we find that

$$\left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{c_{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n}^q |a_k| - c_{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.28)$$

which readily yields the assertion (2.24) of Theorem 2. If we take

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{z^{q+1}}{c_{q+1}} \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}; q > n), \quad (2.29)$$

with $z = re^{\frac{i\pi}{q+p+1}}$ and let $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain

$$\frac{f(z)}{s_q(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^{q+p+1}}{c_{q+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{c_{q+1}},$$

which shows that the bound in (2.24) is best possible for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > n$.

(ii) Similarly, if we put

$$g_2(z) = (1 + c_{q+1}) \left\{ \frac{s_q(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{c_{q+1}}{1 + c_{q+1}} \right\} = 1 - \frac{(1 + c_{q+1}) \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k+p}}{1 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k+p}},$$

and make use of (2.26), we can deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g_2(z) - 1}{g_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + c_{q+1}) \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n}^q |a_k| + (1 - c_{q+1}) \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.30)$$

which yields inequality (2.25) of Theorem 2. The bound in (2.15) is sharp for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > n$, with the extreme function $f(z)$ given by (2.29). The proof of Theorem 2 is now completed.

Theorem 2.7 Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ be given by (1.1) and let

$$c_k \geq \begin{cases} \frac{k}{p}; & k = n, n + 1, \dots, q; \\ \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \left(\frac{k}{p} \right); & k = q + 1, q + 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where c_k is given by (2.4) and satisfying the condition (2.3), then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{s'_q(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{q+1}{c_{q+1}} \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}; q > n; z \in U), \quad (2.31)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{s'_q(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{q+1}{q+1 + c_{q+1}} \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}; q > n; z \in U). \quad (2.32)$$

The estimates in (2.31) and (2.32) are sharp for $f(z)$ defined by (2.29).

Proof: By setting

$$g_3(z) = \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{s'_q(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{q+1}{c_{q+1}} \right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{\frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} a_k z^{k+p}}{-1 + \sum_{k=n}^q \frac{k}{p} a_k z^{k+p}}, \quad (2.33)$$

Then, find that

$$\left| \frac{g_3(z) - 1}{g_3(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n}^q \frac{k}{p} |a_k| - \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} |a_k|}. \quad (2.34)$$

Now,

$$\left| \frac{g_3(z) - 1}{g_3(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1,$$

since

$$\sum_{k=n}^q \frac{k}{p} |a_k| + \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1. \quad (2.35)$$

Then, the proof of (2.31) is completed.

To prove (2.32), we define the function $g_4(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g_4(z) &= \left(\frac{q+1+c_{q+1}}{q+1} \right) \left\{ \frac{s'_q(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1+c_{q+1}} \right\} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \right) \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} a_k z^{k+p}}{-1 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} a_k z^{k+p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and making use of (2.35), we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g_4(z) - 1}{g_4(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \right) \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} |a_k| - \left(1 + \frac{c_{q+1}}{q+1} \right) \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} |a_k|} \leq 1,$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (2.32) of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] M.K. Aouf, New criteria for multivalent meromorphic starlike functions of order alpha, Proc. Japan. Acad., 69(1993), 66-70.
- [2] M.K. Aouf and H.M. Srivastava, A new criterion for meromorphically p-valent convex functions of order alpha, Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line, 1(8) (1997), 7-12.

- [3] T. Bulboacă, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations: Recent Results*, House of Science Book Publ. Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [4] R.M. El-Ashwah, Certain class of meromorphic univalent functions defined by an Erdelyi-Kober type integral operator, *Open Sci. J. Math. Appl.*, 3(1) (2015), 14-18.
- [5] D.J. Hallenbeck and St. Ruscheweyh, Subordinations by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52(1975), 191-195.
- [6] J.L. Liu and H.M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 259(2001), 566-581.
- [7] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 28(1981), 157-171.
- [8] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. No. 255 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [9] B.A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 23(1992), 223-231.

Copyright 2014 R.M. El-Ashwah and A.H. Hassan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.